

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|
| DECISION-MAKER: | HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD | | |
| SUBJECT: | SUSTAINABILITY AND TRANSFORMATION PLAN 2016 | | |
| DATE OF DECISION: | 28 SEPTEMBER 2016 | | |
| REPORT OF: | CHIEF OFFICER, SOUTHAMPTON CITY CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP | | |
| <u>CONTACT DETAILS</u> | | | |
| AUTHOR | Name: | John Richards | Tel: 02380 296923 |
| | E-mail: | john.richards@southamptoncityccg.nhs.uk | |
| STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY | | | |
| None | | | |

BRIEF SUMMARY

The aim of the Hampshire and Isle Of Wight (HIOW) Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP) is to enable local health and care partners across HIOW to work at scale to realise solutions that can transform the health and wellbeing of our population. The purpose of this report is for the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) to give delegated approval to the Chair, as the timescale between completion and submission of the H&IOW STP to the national bodies does not coincide with a HWB meeting. A verbal report will be made at the meeting regarding the current position of the plan.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- (i) HWB is asked to comment on the proposal to form a joint committee of the four HWBs across HIOW to provide strategic governance and oversight of the STP, and also to help ensure that the principle of subsidiarity is maintained.
- (ii) HWB is asked to make a clear commitment to debate specific issues from the STP in public in due course, in line with public consultation requirements and good governance.
- (iii) HWB is asked to give delegated authority for approval to the Chair for the STP version to be submitted by 21 October 2016.

REASONS FOR REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The HWB will play an important role in defining local priorities and overseeing delivery of the STP. Throughout the development of the HIOW STP, the principle has been established that the HIOW plan should only tackle at scale those matters that can only be resolved by working at scale, and that power should reside as locally as possible: the so-called subsidiarity principle. Governance proposals are being developed that envisage a joint committee being formed of the four HWBs across HIOW with a specific remit to undertake the wider overarching role. The specific terms of reference will need to be developed if there is support for this approach.

National feedback following the draft submission at the end of June 2016 has suggested that the STP would benefit from greater specificity about the impact/benefits of proposed changes. Work is currently underway to enable boards to make meaningful decisions. This should include clarity about the consequences of funding plans for both health and social care and assumptions about funding and capacity requirements (workforce, IT and estates) to deliver the objectives of the STP (i.e. meeting the three 'gaps' identified in the Five Year Forward View).

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

2. None

DETAIL (Including consultation carried out)

- 3 The STP steering group are working towards finalising the STP and the governance arrangements for a joint committee of HWBs to bring together the four HWBs in the STP area. The HWB have been kept informed of the STP process and progress while the plan has been in development. A verbal update will be given at the 28th September meeting.
- 4 The final STP must be submitted to national bodies by 21 October. The requirement to rapidly develop two year operating plans and contracts (by December 2016) suggests that clear and explicit sign off from local organisations (including the HWB) would facilitate a successful process. A near-final draft will be available, at the earliest, on 30th September for board approvals to begin. All approvals must be gathered by 12th October.
- 5 It is anticipated that national planning guidance will be issued before the end of September 2016. In the meantime, some guidance has now been received to suggest that STPs should be published before the end of the year and emphasising the importance of inclusive engagement throughout the process for developing plans, together with the legal requirement to consult in the event of substantive service changes.
- 6 The Board are asked to give delegated approval to the Chair of HWB, as there will not be a HWB meeting during the approval period to formally agree to the plan.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Capital/Revenue

7. None

Property/Other

8. None

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Statutory power to undertake proposals in the report:

9. None

Other Legal Implications:

10. None

POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS

11. None

KEY DECISION? No

| | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED: | |
|------------------------------------|--|

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

Appendices

| | |
|----|------|
| 1. | None |
|----|------|

Documents In Members' Rooms

| | |
|----|------|
| 1. | None |
|----|------|

Equality Impact Assessment

| | |
|--|---|
| Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) to be carried out. | Not at this stage, but clearly the STP is intended to have a beneficial impact on equality and this will require a thorough assessment in due course. |
|--|---|

Other Background Documents

Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Title of Background Paper(s) | Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable) |
|------------------------------|--|

| | | |
|----|------|--|
| 1. | None | |
|----|------|--|